

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/643,554	Applicant(s) FIEDOTIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Luke Gilligan	Art Unit 3626	

All Participants:

(1) Luke Gilligan.

(2) Dion Bregman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Eliza Stefaniw.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior art rejections of claims 1 and 3-57

Claims discussed:

1 and 3-57

Prior art documents discussed:

Halvorson

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner suggested claim amendment that, in addition to the amendments of 12/7/06, appeared to distinguish over the applied prior art. In addition, on 6/5/07, Dion Bregman agreed to an examiner's amendment to claim 22.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)